

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/744,082	03/16/2001	Hongli Willimann	1775	2277	
35157	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY P.O. BOX 6500 BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807-3300			EGWIM, KEL	EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/744,082	WILLIMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started the period for re	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2005.	•			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>48-66</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>63 and 64</u> is/are w Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>48-62,65 and 66</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and</u>	ithdrawn from consideration.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

H

Application/Control Number: 09/744,082 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 48-62, 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, for reasons cited in a prior Office action.

In addition, while applicant refers to a recitation in the international application, which is untranslated in Japanese, wherein the specification of said international application purportedly recites that "If very rapid film formation is desired, then preferably the inner phase [of ?] has a very low Tg-value, preferably below 50°C", there is insufficient support for the amendment wherein the entire copolymer of the powder itself is additionally required to have a Tg of below 50°C.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,082

Art Unit: 1713

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 48, 50, 51, 53-58, 60-62, 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maslanka et al., for reasons cited in prior Office actions.

While Maslanka et al. do not expressly teach the disclosed properties of the claimed powder, it is reasonable that the powder of Maslanka et al. would possess the presently claimed properties since the composition of Maslanka et al. is essentially the same as the claimed composition and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old composition is not patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties. In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.

Even if assuming that the prior art references do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive composition because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,082 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 01/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 7. Regarding the new matter rejection, applicant as failed to demonstrate where the specification, at the time the application was filed, disclosed a preferred embodiment wherein "the powder is capable of forming a film at ambient temperatures". Applicant has failed to point out where sufficient support can be found in the original disclosure for such an amendment.
- 8. Regarding the 102 rejection, applicant presents reasons as to why they believe that "it is much less likely that the lattices [of the prior art] form a film" and states that "Maslanka provides no indication as to a manner to achieve the redispersible powder {with the properties] of the present invention", however, it remains reasonable that the redispersible powder of Maslanka would possess the same properties as the claimed redispersible powders since the redispersible powder of Maslanka is essentially the same as the claimed composition and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old composition would not be patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties. In re Fitzgerald et al., 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 § 2112.02.

In any event, even if assuming that the prior art references do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive composition because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

KCE